## **ON BANACH SPACES WHOSE DUAL BALLS ARE NOT WEAK\* SEQUENTIALLY COMPACT**

**BY** 

J. HAGLER AND W. B. JOHNSON\*

## ABSTRACT

THEOREM 1. Let X be a Banach space. (a) If  $X^*$  has a closed subspace in which no normalized sequence converges weak<sup>\*</sup> to zero, then  $l_i$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X. (b) If  $X^*$  contains a bounded sequence which has no weak<sup>\*</sup> convergent subsequence, then  $X$  contains a separable subspace whose dual is not separable.

The common feature in the proofs of (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 is a diagonalization argument similar to that of Nissenzweig [5]. Nissenzweig's main result, that every conjugate Banach space contains a normalized sequence which weak\* converges to zero, is a consequence of Corollary 1. (This result was also proved by Josefson [3a].)

COROLLARY 1. If  $l_1$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $X^*$ , or if the unit ball of  $X^*$ *is not weak \* sequentially compact, then either*  $c<sub>0</sub>$  *is isomorphic to a quotient of X or*  $l_1$  is isomorphic to a subspace of X.

One derives Nissenzweig's result from Corollary 1 as follows: If  $X^*$  contains no normalized weak\* null sequence, then the unit ball of  $X^*$  is not weak\* sequentially compact. Thus either  $c_0$  is a quotient of X, or, if  $l_1$  embeds into X,  $l_2$ is a quotient of X by a result of Pe $\chi$ czyński's [6]. At any rate, X has a separable quotient, which implies that  $X^*$  contains a normalized weak\* null sequence.

In view of a theorem of Stegall [8], we can restate Theorem 1 (b) as

COROLLARY 2. If  $X^*$  has the Radon-Nikodym property, then the unit ball of *X\* is weak\* sequentially compact.* 

\* The second-named author was supported in part by NSF-MPS 72-04634-A03. Received August 2, 1976

Haydon  $[2]$  has recently given an example of a compact Hausdorff space K which is not sequentially compact such that  $l_1(\Gamma)$  does not embed in  $C(K)$  for any uncountable set F. It is not known, however, if the hypothesis of Theorem 1 (b) implies that  $l_1$  embeds in X.

NOTATION. Unexplained notation is standard and can be found in [1] or [4].

All Banach spaces are real. For a Banach space  $X$ ,  $B<sub>x</sub>$  denotes the closed unit ball of X. If D is a subset of X, then  $[D]$  is the closed linear span of the set D in X. If X and Y are Banach spaces, then  $(X \oplus Y)_1$  is the Banach space  $(X \times Y, || ||)$ , where  $|| (x, y) || = ||x|| + ||y||$ .

Next, let T denote the set of finite sequences of 0's and 1's. For  $\varphi \in T$ ,  $|\varphi|$ denotes the length of the sequence  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi, \psi \in T$ , then  $\varphi \geq \psi$  if  $|\varphi| \geq |\psi|$  and the first  $|\psi|$  terms of  $\varphi$  form the sequence  $\psi$ . If  $|\psi| = n$  and  $i = 0$  or 1, then  $\psi$ , i is the unique sequence of length  $n + 1$  whose first n terms form the sequence  $\psi$ . Also, let  $\Delta$  denote the set of infinite sequences of 0's and 1's. Then to each  $\xi \in \Delta$ we can associate a unique sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  in T, where for each  $n \ge 0$ , the first n terms of  $\xi$  form the sequence  $\varphi_n$ .

PROOF OF (a) OF THEOREM 1. Let  $(f_n)$  be a normalized basic sequence in  $X^*$ such that no normalized sequence in  $[f_n]$  converges weak\* to zero. Then by Rosenthal's characterization of  $l_1$ -sequences [7], we can assume that  $(f_n)$  is equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ .

First, we may assume that  $(f_n)$  is isometrically equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ . To see this, observe that  $l_1$  imbeds in X if and only if  $l_1$  imbeds in  $(X \oplus c_0)_1$ . If  $(h_n)$  denotes the usual basis for  $l_1 \cong c^*_{o}$ , then the sequence  $(f_n, h_n)$  in  $(X \oplus c_0)^*$  is isometrically equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$  and there is no normalized sequence in  $[(f_n, h_n)]$  which tends weak\* to zero.

Now, let  $(g_n)$  be any sequence in a Banach space isometrically equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ . Then a sequence  $(h_n)$  is called an  $l_1$ -normalized block of  $(g_n)$ (in short, a *block*) if  $h_n = \sum_{i \in A_n} \alpha_i g_i$  where the  $A_n$  are pairwise disjoint finite subsets of the integers and  $\Sigma_{i \in A_n}$   $\alpha_i$  = 1. It is clear that every block  $(g_n)$  of  $(h_n)$ is isometrically equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ .

For a block  $(g_n)$  of our original sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $B_{x}$ , define  $\delta(g_n)$  =  $\sup_x \limsup_n g_n(x)$  where the sup is taken over all  $x \in B_x$ . Also define

 $\varepsilon(g_n) = \inf{\delta(h_n) : (h_n)$  is a block of  $(g_n)$ .

It is clear that  $\delta(g_n) > 0$  and if  $(h_n)$  is a block of  $(g_n)$ , then  $\delta(h_n) \leq \delta(g_n)$  and  $\varepsilon(h_n) \geq \varepsilon(g_n)$ .

We claim that there exists a block  $(g_n)$  of  $(f_n)$  such that  $\varepsilon(g_n)=\delta(g_n)$ , i.e.

## Vol. 28, 1977 BANACH SPACES 327

 $\delta(h_n) = \delta(g_n)$  for any block  $(h_n)$  of  $(g_n)$ . Indeed, we can pick a block  $(g_n)$  of  $(f_n)$ such that  $\delta(g_n^1) < \varepsilon(f_n) + 2^{-1}$ , and, inductively, we can pick blocks  $(g_n^k)$  of  $(g_n^{k-1})$ such that  $\delta(g_n^k) < \varepsilon (g_n^{k-1}) + 2^{-k}$ . Putting  $g_n = g_n^*$  for each n, we have that  $(g_n)$  is a block of  $(f_n)$  which satisfies  $\delta(g_n) \geq \varepsilon(g_n)$ .

We use this sequence  $(g_n)$  to pick a sequence  $(\omega_n)$  in  $B_x$  equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(g_n) = \varepsilon(g_n)$  and pick  $\varepsilon < \delta/2$ . Then there exists  $\omega_1 \in B_{X}$  and an infinite subset  $A_{\phi}$  of N such that  $\delta - \varepsilon < g_n(\omega_1)$  for  $n \in A_{\phi}$ .

Assume now that we have picked  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in B_{x}$  and infinite subsets  $A_{\varphi}$ of N for all  $\varphi \in T$ ,  $|\varphi| = n$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, m - 1$  to satisfy

(1)  $A_{\varphi,0} \cup A_{\varphi,1} \subset A_{\varphi}$  for all  $\varphi, 0 \leq |\varphi| \leq m - 2;$ 

(2)  $g_k(\omega_n) > \delta - \varepsilon$  if  $k \in A_{\varphi,0}$  for some  $\varphi, |\varphi| = n - 2$ ; and  $g_k(\omega_n) < -\delta + \varepsilon$  if  $k \in A_{\varphi,1}$  for some  $\varphi, |\varphi| = n - 2$ .

We want to pick  $\omega_{m+1} \in B_{X}$  and infinite subsets  $A_{\varphi}$  of N for all  $\varphi$ ,  $|\varphi| = m$  to satisfy  $(1)$  and  $(2)$ .

For all  $\varphi$ ,  $|\varphi| = m - 1$ , choose infinite disjoint subsets A  $\zeta_0$  and A  $\zeta_{+1}$  of A<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>, and enumerate  $A'_{i,j} = \{n_k^{\varphi_i}\}\$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Consider the block of  $(g_k)$  whose k th term is

$$
\frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{|\varphi|=m-1} (g_{n_k}^{\varphi,0}-g_{n_k}^{\varphi,1}).
$$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon/(2^{m+1}-1)$ . Then there exists  $\omega_{m+1} \in B_{X}$  so that for all but finitely many k,

$$
(\alpha) \qquad \delta-\varepsilon' < \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{|\varphi|=m-1} \left( g_{n_k}^{\varphi,0} - g_{n_k}^{\varphi,1} \right) \left( \omega_{m+1} \right).
$$

Also, for all but finitely many k, all  $| \varphi | = m - 1$  and  $i = 0$  or 1,

$$
|g_{n_k}^{\varphi,i}(\omega_{m+1})|<\delta+\varepsilon'.
$$

A simple calculation shows that for all k satisfying ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ),

$$
g_{n_k}^{\varphi,0}(\omega_{m+1}) > \delta - (2^{m+1}-1) \varepsilon'
$$

and

$$
g_{n_k}^{\varphi,1}(\omega_{m+1})<-\delta+(2^{m+1}-1)\,\varepsilon'.
$$

We will prove this for a distinct  $\psi$ , 0, the proof for  $\psi$ , 1 being the same. If  $g_{n_k}^{\psi,0}(\omega_{m+1}) \leq \delta - (2^{m+1}-1) \varepsilon'$ , then

$$
\delta - \varepsilon' < \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{|\varphi| = m - 1} (g_{n_k}^{\varphi, 0} - g_{n_k}^{\varphi, 1})(\omega_{m+1})
$$
  
=  $2^{-m} (g_{n_k}^{\psi, 0}(\omega_{m+1}) - g_{n_k}^{\psi, 1}(\omega_{m+1}) + \sum_{|\varphi| = m - 1} (g_{n_k}^{\varphi, 0}(\omega_{m+1}) - g_{n_k}^{\psi, 1}(\omega_{m+1}))$ 

$$
\langle 2^{-m} (\delta - (2^{m+1} - 1) \varepsilon' + (\delta + \varepsilon') + (2^m - 2)(\delta + \varepsilon'))
$$
  
= 2^{-m} (2^m \delta - (2^{m+1} - 2^m) \varepsilon')  
= \delta - \varepsilon',

which contradicts the choice of  $\omega_{m+1}$ .

Put  $A_{\varphi,0} = \{n_k : g_{n_k}^{\varphi,0}(\omega_{m+1}) > \delta - \varepsilon\}$  and  $A_{\varphi,1} = \{n_k : g_{n_k}^{\varphi,1}(\omega_{m+1}) < -\delta + \varepsilon\}$ . Since  $(2^{m+1}-1)\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ ,  $A_{\varphi,0}$  and  $A_{\varphi,1}$  are infinite subsets of N for all  $\varphi, |\varphi| = m - 1$ . This completes the induction.

The following easy argument shows that the sequence  $(\omega_k)$  is  $(\delta - \varepsilon)$  equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ . Let n, and scalars  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with  $t_1 \ge 0$  be given. Let

$$
u_{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq i+1 \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq i < 0 \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,
$$

and set  $\varphi = (\varepsilon_1,\dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$ . Then if  $g = g_{n_k}^*$  for some  $n_k^* \in A_{\varphi}$ ,

$$
g(\omega_i) > \delta - \varepsilon \qquad \text{if} \quad t_{i+1} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
g(\omega_i) < -\delta + \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad t_{i+1} < 0.
$$

Hence,  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \omega_i\| \ge \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g(\omega_i) > (\delta - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|$ , so  $(\omega_k)$  is equivalent to the usual basis of  $l_1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1 (a).

PROOF OF  $(b)$  OF THEOREM 1. For this part of the proof, let us adopt the following conventions: We will denote infinite sequences in  $X^*$  by the capital letters M and N. A sequence  $M_1$  is almost contained in  $M_2$  (denoted  $M_1C_4M_2$ ) if  $M_1 \backslash M_2$  is finite. For a sequence M in  $B_{x*}$ , M' denotes the set of weak<sup>\*</sup> accumulation points of M, and hull\*  $(M')$  the weak\* closed convex hull of M'.

For any sequence M in  $B_x$ , define  $D(M) = \sup \inf_{b \in \Lambda} |f_0(x) - f_1(x)|$  where the sup is taken over all  $M_0$ ,  $M_1 \subset A M$ ,  $f_i \in \text{hull}^*(M'_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , and  $x \in B_x$ .

The following consequence of the separation theorem will be needed.

LEMMA 5. Let N be a sequence in  $B_x$ . with no weak  $*$  converging subsequence. *Then if M* is any subsequence of *N*,  $D(M) > 0$ .

PROOF. Pick  $g_0, g_1 \in M'$  with  $g_0 \neq g_1$ . Let  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  be disjoint weak\* closed convex neighborhoods of  $g_0$ ,  $g_1$  respectively. For  $i=0,1$ , put  $M_i =$  ${m \in M : m \in V_i}$ . Then both  $M_i$  are infinite, both hull\* $(M'_i)$  are weak\* compact and convex, and hull\*  $(M'_0) \cap \hbox{hull}^*(M'_1) = \emptyset$ . The separation theorem yields an  $x \in B_x$  and a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f_0(x) - \delta > f_1(x)$  for all  $f_i \in \text{hull}^*(M')$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , and this implies  $D(M) > \delta$ . Q.E.D. Vol. 28, 1977 **BANACH SPACES** 329

Again, let N be a sequence in  $B_x$ , with no weak<sup>\*</sup> converging subsequence. For each  $\varphi \in T$ , pick a subsequence  $N_{\varphi}$  of N, and infinite  $N_{\varphi,i} C_a N_{\varphi}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ), and  $x_{\varphi} \in B_{\chi}$  such that  $(f_0 - f_1)(x_{\varphi}) \geq \frac{1}{2}D(N_{\varphi})$  for any  $f_i \in \text{hull}^*(N_{\varphi,i}), i = 0, 1$ . (This selection can be accomplished by induction on sequences of length  $n$ .)

We claim that there exists a  $\varphi_0 \in T$  and a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $\psi \ge \varphi_0$ ,  $D(N_{\psi}) \ge 2\delta$ . If not, there exists a sequence  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots$  in T such that  $\varphi_1 \le \varphi_2 \le$  $\cdots$  and  $D(N_{\varphi_k})$  < 1/k for all k. Let  $M = \{m_1, m_2, \cdots\}$  be a sequence of distinct elements in N such that  $m_k \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k N_{\varphi_j}$  for each k. Then  $M \subset \{N_{\varphi_k}\}$  for each k, and by Lemma 4,  $D(M) > 0$ . But  $D(N_{\varphi_k}) \ge D(M)$  for all k, which is a contradiction.

So, by reindexing if necessary, we can assume that  $\varphi_0 = \emptyset$ , i.e., that for all  $\varphi \in T$ ,  $|(f_0 - f_1)(x_{\varphi})| \geq \delta$  if  $f_i \in \text{hull}^*(N'_{\varphi,i}), i = 0, 1$ . Let  $X_0 = [\{x_{\varphi} : \varphi \in T\}]$ . Since T is countable,  $X_0$  is separable. To show that  $X_0^*$  is nonseparable, for each  $\xi \in \Delta$  pick one element  $f_{\xi} \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} N'_{\xi_j}$  where  $(\varphi_i)$  is the unique sequence in T generated by  $\xi$ . (This intersection is non-empty by compactness.)

Now, let  $\hat{f}_{\xi} = f_{\xi|X_0}$ . Given distinct  $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$ , let  $(\varphi_i)$  and  $(\psi_i)$  be the sequences in T corresponding to  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  respectively. Let  $j_0 = \max\{j : \varphi_j = \psi_j\}$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_{j_0}$ . Then without loss of generality, we can assume that  $f_{\xi} \in N'_{\varphi,0}$  and  $f_{\eta} \in N'_{\varphi,1}$ . But then,  $\|\hat{f}_{\epsilon} - \hat{f}_{\eta}\| \ge (f_{\epsilon} - f_{\eta})(x_{\varphi}) \ge \delta$ . Since  $\Delta$  is uncountable, this shows that  $X_0^*$  is nonseparable. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. By Rosenthal's theorem [7], if  $B_x$  is not weak<sup>\*</sup> sequentially compact, then  $l_1$  embeds into  $X^*$ . Now if  $l_1$  does not embed into X, then by Theorem 1 (a),  $B_{x}$  contains a weak<sup>\*</sup> null sequence which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $l_1$ . Thus by remark III.1 of [3],  $c_0$  is isomorphic to a quotient of X.

*Added in proof.* E. Odell and the first named author have constructed a Banach space not containing  $l_1$  whose dual ball is not weak\* sequentially compact.

## **REFERENCES**

1. J. Hagler, *A counterexample to several questions about Banach spaces,* Studia Math., to appear.

2. R. Haydon, *On Banach spaces which contain*  $l'(\tau)$  *and types of measures on compact spaces,* preprint.

3. W. B. Johnson and H. P. Rosenthal, *On w \*-basic sequences and their application to the study of Banach spaces,* Studia Math. 43 (1972), 77-92.

3a. B. Josefson, *Weak sequential convergence in the dual of a Banach space does not imply norm convergence,* Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 79-89.

4. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces,* Springer lecture notes 338.

5. A. Nissenzweig, *w\* sequential convergence,* Israel J. Math. 22 (1975), 266-272.

6. A. Pe*lczyński, On Banach spaces containing L*<sub>1</sub>( $\mu$ ), Studia Math. 30 (1968), 231-246.

7. H. P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of Banach spaces containing* <sup>1</sup>, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71 (1974), 2411-2413.

8. C. Stegall, The *Radon-Nikodym property in conjugate Banach spaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 206 (1975), 213-223.

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA AND THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY